IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.12456 of 2008
SANJEEV KUMAR,
Son of Bhogendra Rai, resident of
Village Dalatpur, P.S. Hajipur (Sadar)
District Vaishali
-------Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF BIHAR

---

6. 7.11.2008 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner, who is the husband of the deceased, is an accused for an offence under sections 498A and 304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code in connection with Hajipur (Sadar) P.S. Case No.314/05.

The allegation in a nutshell is to the effect that the petitioner demanded dowry and the same not being fulfilled, the deceased was burnt which led to her death in the Apollo Burn Hospital at Patna.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the deceased herself used to live in her matrimonial home and the case has been lodged by the mother of the deceased on false and fabricated allegations.

The learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that in view of the serious nature of allegations attributed against the petitioner, who is the husband of the deceased, he is not entitled to the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and the circumstances and the allegations made in the F.I.R. as well as the submissions as noticed

above, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The application is, accordingly, dismissed.

PNM **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL